	Case 1:24-cv-00698-BAM Document	t 24 Filed 09/15/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	THOMAS H. GOSS,	No. 1:24-cv-00698	3-KES-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		NG FINDINGS AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDAT DEFENDANT'S N	TON TO DENY MOTIONS TO DISMISS
14	COUNTY OF FRESNO,	Docs. 11, 19	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Thomas H. Goss is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in		
18	this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on plaintiff's complaint		
19	against defendant County of Fresno for violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. Doc. 6. The		
20	matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and		
21	Local Rule 302.		
22	On January 23, 2025, the County of Fresno filed a motion to dismiss, arguing that the		
23	statute of limitations for plaintiff's claims had run and that equitable tolling does not apply		
24	because plaintiff did not provide timely notice of his claims within the statutory period. Doc. 11.		
25	Plaintiff filed an opposition to the motion and the County of Fresno filed a reply. Docs. 13, 15.		
26	On July 8, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations		
27	recommending that the County of Fresno's motion to dismiss be denied. Doc. 19. Specifically,		
28	the magistrate judge found that it appeared undisputed based on the current record that plaintiff 1		

Case 1:24-cv-00698-BAM Document 24 Filed 09/15/25 Page 2 of 2 was continuously confined during the relevant period and was pursuing his claim in good faith such that he could take advantage of tolling as set forth in *Jones v. Blanas*, 393 F.3d 918, 930 (9th Cir. 2004). *Id.* at 8–9. The magistrate judge also determined that the factual issues involved in the "notice" element of equitable tolling could not be determined in this case at the motion to dismiss stage. Id. at 9. The findings and recommendation were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. *Id.* at 10. No objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has expired. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendation issued on July 8, 2025, Doc. 19, are adopted in full; 2. The County of Fresno's motion to dismiss, Doc. 11, is denied; and 3. This action is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 13, 2025

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28